UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/505,388	02/28/2005	Bernd Kreuzer	166-75	7212
7590 08/01/2007 Rocco S Barrese Dilworth & Barrese 333 Earle Ovington Boulevard Uniondale, NY 11553			EXAMINER	
			NICHOLSON III, LESLIE AUGUST	
			ARTIBUT	DADED MUMEDED .
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/505,388	KREUZER ET AL.				
merview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leslie A. Nicholson III	3651				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC) personnel):					
(1) <u>Leslie A. Nicholson III</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>George M. Kaplan</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 27 June 2007.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,12,24 and 28</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Ehrenleitner DE 20105676 (USP 6676755), Goebel WO 02/04279 A1, Koga USP 5088176, Kreuzer USP 6419983.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) was not reached. h) l	N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the generareached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Shee</u>		if an agreement was				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendments that v					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGEI INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INT requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	e last Office action has already R OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT TERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	y been filed, APPLICANT IS Y DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO				
		AWFORD				
	SOFENISORY FINIT	ENT EXAMINER				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action	Examiner's sign	nature if required				

Application No. 10/505,388

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant presented proposed amended claims 1,12,34,38. Applicant amended claims 12 and 34 to place them in condition for allowance. Regarding claim 38, Applicant discussed that Kreuzer does not show the second moduled pivoting about an axis extending substantially parallel to the direction of movement of the workpieces and about an axis extending substantially perpendicular to the direction of movement of the workpiece along the handling line. The Examiner agreed. Regarding claim 1, the Examiner recommended only claiming the embodiment of figure 5 which was elected without traverse in the restriction requirement filed 4/27/2006. Agreement was not reached with respect to claim 1.